## BEFORE JUSTICE VINEET SARAN FORMER JUDGE, SUPREME COURT OF INDIA OMBUDSMAN & ETHICS OFFICER THE BOARD OF CONTROL FOR CRICKET IN INDIA

## Complaint No. 02/2023

## In re:

Complaint in respect of the "divergent bye laws in each district association across the country and the need to implement uniform bye laws in tune with the model bye law brought out by the Lodha Committee and adopted by BCCI", dated 01.12.2021 with reminder dated 24.11.2022

Mr. K.B. Vijayakrishnan

Mr. K.B. Vijayakrisinan

...Complainant

## **ORDER**

A complaint has been filed by Mr. K.B. Vijayakrishnan in respect of the "divergent bye laws in each district association across the country and the need to implement uniform bye laws in tune with the model bye law brought out by the Lodha Committee and adopted by BCCI". However, the complainant has not even

Haran

-2 -

mentioned as to under which provision the same would be

maintainable before the Ombudsman or the Ethics Officer of BCCI.

The types of dispute/differences that form part of the ambit and

scope of the Ethics Officer and Ombudsman, BCCI have been

respectively elaborated in Rule 39 and 41 of the BCCI Rules and

Regulations. Notably, the issue raised by the complainant does not

form part of the said scope and ambit of either the Ethics Officer

or the Ombudsman of BCCI. Thus, the said complaint is not

maintainable and is dismissed for want of jurisdiction.

[JUSTICE VINEET SARAN] **OMBUDSMAN & ETHICS OFFICER** 

BOARD OF CONTROL FOR CRICKET IN INDIA

Date: 24.03.2023